



Australian Government

Australian Research Council

Social Sciences Collaboration

Call for Proposals
under

ARC '*Linkage International* Funding Rules for
funding commencing in 2009'

Australian Research Council
Social Sciences Collaboration
Call for Proposals for funding commencing in 2009

Table of Contents

Acronyms	4
Key Dates	6
Contacts	6
1. Interpretation	7
2. Introduction	10
2.1 Overview.....	10
2.2 Background.....	10
2.3 Objectives of the funding initiative.....	11
2.4 The <i>Social Sciences Collaboration</i> initiative.....	12
2.5 Fundamental principles and requirements	12
2.5.1 Ethics and Research Practices.....	12
2.5.2 Non-duplication	13
2.5.3 Conflict of Interest	13
2.5.4 Acknowledging ARC support.....	14
2.5.5 Dissemination of research outputs	14
3. Funding	14
3.1 Level of funding.....	14
3.2 Period of funding	15
3.3 Types of research supported	15
3.4 Restrictions on budget items.....	16
3.5 Areas of investigation/work not supported.....	17
3.6 Budget Items Supported.....	18
3.7 Budget Items Not Supported.....	18
3.8 Number of Proposals.....	19
3.8.1 General requirements	19
3.8.2 Duplication.....	20
4. Organisational types, roles and eligibility	20
4.1 Eligible Organisations.....	20
5. Roles and eligibility for researchers	20
5.1 Researcher roles and general eligibility.....	20
5.2 Eligibility criteria for Chief Investigators.....	21
5.3 Eligibility criteria for Overseas Investigators	23
5.4 Eligibility criteria for Partner Investigators	24

6.	Cross-scheme issues	25
6.1	Cross-scheme funding.....	25
6.2	Cross-scheme eligibility.....	25
6.3	Funding of Medical and Dental Research.....	25
7.	Researchers from Commonwealth-funded Research Centres.....	26
7.1	Duplication with Commonwealth-funded Research Centres.....	26
8.	Application process.....	27
8.1	Eligibility Exemption and Eligibility Advising Process.....	27
8.2	Proposals.....	28
8.3	Certification	29
8.4	Submission of Proposals.....	29
8.4.3	Format.....	30
8.4.4	How to complete and submit a Proposal.....	30
8.4.5	Number of copies.....	31
8.4.6	Closing times for Proposals	31
9.	Selection and approval process.....	32
9.1	Selection criteria	32
9.2	Assessment and selection procedure.....	33
9.2.1	Assessment and selection process.....	33
9.2.8	Exclusion of Proposals.....	34
9.2.9	Assessment.....	36
9.2.10	Rejoinder.....	37
9.2.11	Recommendations.....	37
9.3	Offer of funding	37
10.	Appeals process	37
11.	Administration of funding.....	38
11.1	Funding Agreement	38
11.2	Varying the Funding Agreement	38
11.3	Varying the Funding Approval	38
11.4	Reports	39
12.	Other matters	39
12.1	Applicable law	39
12.2	Confidentiality	39
12.3	Project descriptions.....	40
12.4	Intellectual property	40
12.5	Incomplete or misleading information.....	41
12.6	Insurance and liabilities	42
Appendix 1: National Research Priorities and associated Priority Goals.....		43
Appendix 2: Eligible Organisations		53
Appendix 3: Linkage International Internationally Coordinated Initiatives (ICIs) <i>Social Sciences Collaboration</i>		55

Acronyms

The following acronyms are used in ARC Funding Rules.

AEDT	Australian Eastern Daylight Saving (Summer) Time
AEST	Australian Eastern Standard Time
AIF	Australia-Israel Fellowship
AIMS	Australian Institute of Marine Science
ANSTO	Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation
APA	Australian Postgraduate Award
APAI	Australian Postgraduate Award (Industry)
APD	Australian Postdoctoral Fellowship
APDI	Australian Postdoctoral Fellowship (Industry)
APF	Australian Professorial Fellowship
ARC	Australian Research Council
ARCIF	Australian Research Council International Fellowship
ARF	Australian Research Fellowship
AVCC	Australian Vice-Chancellors' Committee
CE	<i>ARC Centres of Excellence and ARC Centres</i>
CoE	ARC College of Experts
CI	Chief Investigator
CRC	Cooperative Research Centre
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DP	<i>ARC Discovery Projects</i>
DSTO	Defence Science and Technology Organisation
ECR	Early Career Researcher
FF	<i>ARC Federation Fellowships</i>
GA	Geoscience Australia
GAMS	Grant Application Management System
GST	Goods and Services Tax
HECS	Higher Education Contribution Scheme
ICI	Internationally Coordinated Initiative
IRF	Indigenous Researcher Fellowship
LASP	<i>ARC Learned Academies Special Projects</i>
LCI	LASP Chief Investigator
LIEF	<i>ARC Linkage Infrastructure, Equipment and Facilities</i>
LIF	ARC Linkage Industry Fellowship
LP	<i>ARC Linkage Projects</i>
LX	<i>ARC Linkage International</i>
NCGP	National Competitive Grants Program
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
NP	Network Participant
OI	Overseas Investigator
PI	Partner Investigator

QEII	Queen Elizabeth II Fellowship
RN	ARC <i>Research Networks</i>
SPIRT	<i>Strategic Partnerships with Industry – Research and Training</i>
SRC	ARC <i>Special Research Centre</i>
SRI	ARC <i>Special Research Initiatives</i>
SRF	ARC Senior Research Fellowship
SSC	ARC <i>Social Sciences Collaboration</i> Internationally Coordinated Initiative
UA	Universities Australia
URL	Universal Resource Locator

Key Dates

Closing Times

Key dates will be specified in Appendix 4 of the '*Linkage International* Funding Rules for funding commencing in 2009' (LX Funding Rules), Appendix 3 of *Social Sciences Collaboration* Call for Proposals (this Call) and in any call for Internationally Coordinated Initiative Proposals issued by the ARC under *Linkage International*. A Call for Proposals for funding for an ICI may be made at any time.

Closing time for eligibility advising and exemption requests, *Linkage International Social Sciences Collaboration* (Section 10.1 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 8.1 of this Call) 5.00 pm (AEST) Thursday 24 April 2008

Closing time for submission of *Linkage International Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals is 5.00 pm (AEST) Wednesday 4 June 2008

Contacts

The ARC deals with thousands of Proposals each year. Researchers should, therefore, direct requests for information to the Research Office within their organisation.

Where this is not possible, enquiries about the ARC '*Linkage International Social Sciences Collaboration* ICI' may be addressed as follows:

Postal

Linkage International Coordinator
Australian Research Council
GPO Box 2702
CANBERRA ACT 2601

Courier

Linkage International Coordinator
Australian Research Council
1st Floor, 8 Brindabella Circuit
CANBERRA AIRPORT ACT 2609

Email: ncgp@arc.gov.au
Phone: 02 6287 6600
Fax: 02 6287 6638
Web: <http://www.arc.gov.au>

1. Interpretation

1.1.1 In this Call for Proposals, unless the contrary intention appears:

Adjunct Appointment or equivalent means that an Eligible Organisation has a formal agreement in writing with a researcher which is publicly acknowledged and which establishes an ongoing association with the Eligible Organisation, of the nature of a conjoint, emeritus or honorary academic or visiting fellow. The ARC may seek documentary evidence of such an association if it considers it necessary.

Administering Organisation means an Eligible Organisation which submits a Proposal for funding under LX and the *Social Sciences Collaboration* and which will receive and be responsible for the administration of the funding if the proposed project is approved for funding.

Applicant means the Administering Organisation. Funding under LX and the *Social Sciences Collaboration* is provided to Administering Organisations, not to individual researchers.

ARC means the Australian Research Council, as established under the ARC Act.

ARC Act means the *Australian Research Council Act 2001*.

ARC Centre means a research centre wholly or partly funded by the ARC and includes *ARC Centres of Excellence*, *ARC Centres*, *ARC Special Research Centres* and co-funded Centres of Excellence such as the Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics (ACPF), the Australian Stem Cell Centre (ASCC) and National ICT Australia (NICTA).

ARC Centre Fellowship means an ARC Centre Fellowship awarded under the ARC's *Centres of Excellence* Scheme.

ARC Fellowship means, subject to this paragraph, a position held by a researcher where the salary is funded wholly or partly by the ARC and where the researcher has been nominated in a Proposal to hold a Fellowship. An ARC Fellowship may be awarded at a number of levels and in various ARC schemes. ARC Fellowship includes Australian Postdoctoral Fellowship (APD), Australian Research Fellowship (ARF), Queen Elizabeth II Fellowship (QEII), and Australian Professorial Fellowship (APF)(under the ARC DP scheme). The term also includes Australian Postdoctoral Fellowship (Industry) (APDI) (under the ARC *Linkage Projects* scheme) and Australian Postdoctoral Fellowship (CSIRO) (APDC) (under the former *APD CSIRO* scheme) and ARC Centre Fellowship (awarded under the ARC's *Centres of Excellence* scheme). For the purposes of the LX Funding Rules, it does not include an Australian Research Council International Fellowship (ARCIF), ARC Federation Fellowship, Indigenous Researcher Fellowship (IRF) or ARC Research Cadetship-Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander award.

ARC's Web Site is <http://www.arc.gov.au>.

Australian Postdoctoral Fellow means a researcher whose salary is wholly or partly funded under an APD (under the DP scheme).

Australian Postdoctoral Fellow (Industry) means a researcher whose salary is funded under an APDI (under the LP scheme).

Australian Professorial Fellow means a researcher whose salary is wholly or partly funded under an APF (under the DP scheme).

Australian Research Council International Fellow means a researcher whose salary is wholly or partly funded under an Australian Research Council International Fellowship (under the LX scheme).

Australian Research Fellow means a researcher whose salary is wholly or partly funded under an ARF (under the DP scheme).

Centre Director means the person appointed to direct the programs of a Commonwealth-funded Research Centre.

Chief Investigator means a researcher who satisfies the eligibility criteria for a Chief Investigator.

Commonwealth means the Commonwealth of Australia.

Commonwealth-funded Research Centre means a research centre substantially funded from Commonwealth competitive research funding sources and includes ARC Centres, CRCs and NHMRC Program Grants and Centres of Clinical Research Excellence. It does not include Research Networks funded by the ARC.

Earnings means the amount paid directly or indirectly as remuneration for work a person undertakes or services he/she performs. It includes amounts paid under employment and/or consultancy arrangements.

Eligible Organisation means an organisation which is eligible to apply for and receive funding under the LX Funding Rules as specified in Section 7.1 and Section 4.1 of this Call.

Federation Fellow means a researcher whose salary is funded under the FF scheme.

Funding Agreement means the agreement entered into between the ARC and the Administering Organisation if the Administering Organisation's Proposal is approved for funding. This Agreement sets out the terms and conditions under which the Commonwealth is to provide funding and the Administering Organisation is to be responsible for administration of the funding and the conduct of the project.

Funding Rules means the ‘*Linkage International Funding Rules for funding commencing in 2009*’ document.

GST has the meaning as given in section 195-1 of the *A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Act 1999*.

Host Organisation means an organisation at which a Fellow undertakes her/his research while holding an ARC Fellowship or ARCIF.

Indigenous Researcher Fellow means a researcher whose salary is wholly or partly funded under an IRF.

Medical and Dental Research means research and/or training which, in the opinion of the ARC, has a significant focus on clinical medical (including dental) outcomes.

Minister means the Minister from time to time responsible for the administration of the ARC Act (currently the Minister for Innovation, Industry, Science and Research), or the Minister's delegate.

National Research Priority means a national research priority detailed in Appendix 1 of the LX Funding Rules and Appendix 1 of this Call.

Overseas Investigator means a researcher who satisfies the eligibility criteria for an Overseas Investigator.

Overseas Organisation means the employer of an Overseas Investigator. For the purpose of the LX Funding Rules and this Call, Overseas Organisation does not include an overseas organisation controlled by an Australian higher education organisation.

Partner Investigator means a researcher who satisfies the eligibility criteria for a Partner Investigator.

Proposal means a request to the ARC for the provision of financial assistance for a research project.

Queen Elizabeth II Fellow means a researcher whose salary is wholly or partly funded under a QEII (under the DP scheme).

Research Office means a business unit within an organisation that is responsible for administrative contact with the ARC regarding Proposals and research projects.

Special Condition means a special condition specified in a Funding Agreement which governs the use of the funding provided by the ARC.

2. Introduction

2.1 Overview

- 2.1.1 This document (this Call) invites Proposals from Eligible Organisations (see Section 4.1 and Appendix 2 of this Call) for funding collaborative research Proposals between Australian and British researchers in the fields of social sciences. All Proposals must comply with the Australian Research Council (ARC) ‘*Linkage International Funding Rules for funding commencing in 2009*’ (LX Funding Rules), available on the ARC’s Web Site as well as the requirements described in this Call.
- 2.1.2 All parties involved in Proposals should read and understand the LX Funding Rules, this Call, and the ARC’s Funding Agreement (which is available on the ARC’s Web Site) before submitting a Proposal to the ARC. Applicants are responsible for ensuring that their Proposals are complete and accurate.
- 2.1.3 The LX Funding Rules are current as at February 2008 and were prepared in accordance with the requirements of the ARC Act in force at that time. The LX Funding Rules and this Call are subject to change at any time, for reasons including any subsequent amendment to, replacement of or supplementation of the ARC Act.
- 2.1.4 Funding under LX and the *Social Sciences Collaboration* is provided to Administering Organisations, not to researchers. That is, the ARC will accept a Proposal only from an Eligible Organisation and not from any individual researcher or researchers.
- 2.1.5 However, if, in the opinion of the ARC, any researcher nominated in a Proposal as a CI, OI or PI caused or has significantly contributed to the failure of an organisation to meet its obligations under any current or previous funding agreement with the ARC, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend for approval any or all Proposals involving that researcher.

2.2 Background

- 2.2.1 The ARC has a signed agreement with the Economic and Social Sciences Research Council of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (ESRC) to fund collaborative research in the social sciences and related disciplines involving researchers in both countries.
- 2.2.2 The ARC and ESRC are both charged with the fostering and support of social science research within their own countries. Both Councils also recognise that the very best research in all countries may be delivered by working with, and benchmarking against, the best researchers internationally. To this end both Councils welcome, encourage and support applications for research which cross national boundaries and involve internationally collaborative teams.

- 2.2.3 For the purposes of this Call, the ARC and the ESRC have agreed that collaborating researchers within the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (UK) and Australia, who come within their respective jurisdictions, may apply to their respective Council in each country.
- 2.2.4 The ARC '*Linkage International Internationally Coordinated Initiative Social Sciences Collaboration*' will be formally conducted under the ARC's LX Funding Rules. The key details and criteria relating to the specific *Social Sciences Collaboration* are summarised at Appendix 4 of the LX Funding Rules and Appendix 3 of this Call.
- 2.2.5 The ESRC will fund an equivalent program for counterpart UK applications under its Small Grants scheme. For each Proposal submitted to the ARC under this *Social Sciences Collaboration* initiative, a counterpart application must be submitted to the ESRC. Full details can be found on the ESRC website at <http://www.esrc.ac.uk>.

2.3 Objectives of the funding initiative

- 2.3.1 The specific objectives of the *Social Sciences Collaboration* are to:
- fund collaborative Australian/British Proposals in the fields of economics, economic and social history, political science, socio-legal studies, education, psychology, cognitive studies, linguistics, management and business studies, human geography, environmental planning, international studies, area and development studies, social statistics, demography, social science computing, sociology, social anthropology, social policy and social work; and
 - stimulate enhanced collaborations among social science researchers and create networks linking individuals and centres in Australia and the UK.
- 2.3.2 The objective of ICIs is to support high-quality research and/or international collaboration/s which will assist in advancing Australia's research excellence to be globally competitive and deliver benefits to the community.
- 2.3.3 As an initiative formally conducted under the LX scheme, the *Social Sciences Collaboration* aims to encourage and support high quality innovative research which involves internationally collaborative researchers and/or teams including the movement of researchers between research organisations and centres of research excellence in Australia and the United Kingdom.
- 2.3.4 For the purposes of this initiative the social sciences are defined as inclusive of the subjects of economics, economic and social history, political science, socio-legal studies, education, psychology, cognitive studies, linguistics, management and business studies, human geography, environmental planning, international studies, area and development studies, social statistics, demography, social science computing, sociology, social anthropology, social policy and social work.

2.3.5 Proposals involving cross-disciplinary research which extends outside these fields may be recommended if the Proposal substantially rests in one or more of the above fields and directly meets the objectives of the *Social Sciences Collaboration*.

2.3.6 The ARC will support only the highest quality collaborative Proposals. The ARC reserves the right to recommend to the Minister that Proposals not be funded if they are not of sufficient quality and/or do not meet the selection criteria.

2.4 The *Social Sciences Collaboration* initiative

2.4.1 The ARC and ESRC will undertake peer review according to their normal procedures. The two Councils will liaise with each other before reaching their separate funding decisions, with the aim of funding high quality collaborative Proposals. Each Council will retain the right of final decision on their respective recommendations for funding.

2.4.2 Each *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal and the counterpart application submitted to the ESRC is to be identified clearly as a collaborative Proposal under the ESRC/ARC Agreement in accordance with specific guidance from each Council and is to be submitted on the relevant forms for each country and according to the rules and such closing dates as may apply in each. The text in the *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal should explain clearly the linkage and synergy between the Proposal and the counterpart application submitted to the ESRC.

2.4.3 While Proposals should clearly describe the linkage and collaborative synergy with the counterpart application submitted to the ESRC there is no guarantee that if a Proposal is successful in one jurisdiction its counterpart will be funded by the other Council. Accordingly the ARC may recommend funding of a Proposal even though its counterpart application submitted to the ESRC is not recommended for funding.

2.5 Fundamental principles and requirements

2.5.1 Ethics and Research Practices

2.5.1.1 The National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) web site, <http://www.nhmrc.gov.au>, provides a series of publications which outline principles of ethical conduct in research. All Proposals and ARC-funded research projects must, unless otherwise approved by the ARC, conform to the principles outlined in the following and their successor documents:

- a. NHMRC/ARC/UA Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research (2007);
- b. as applicable, the NHMRC/ARC/AVCC National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research (2007); and
- c. as applicable, codes on animal research promulgated by the NHMRC.

2.5.1.2 If there is any conflict between a successor document and its predecessor, then the successor document prevails to the extent of any inconsistency.

2.5.2 **Non-duplication**

2.5.2.1 The ARC will not provide financial assistance to meet the costs of a project to the extent that those costs have been, or are likely to be, met from other Commonwealth funding schemes. The ARC reserves the right to:

- a. determine if a Proposal includes such costs and deem a notional amount for them; and
- b. recommend a reduced amount of funding for the proposed project accordingly.

2.5.2.2 If such costs are, in the opinion of the ARC, a significant portion of the costs for a proposed project, the ARC may decide to recommend that the Proposal not be funded at all.

2.5.3 **Conflict of Interest**

2.5.3.1 All parties involved in or associated with Proposals and ARC-funded research projects are required to disclose to the ARC, and the other parties involved in the Proposal/project (including Collaborating and Partner Organisations), any conflict of interest which has the potential to influence, or appear to influence, the request for funding, or the research and activities, publications and media reports related to the Proposal/project. Such conflicts must be disclosed to the ARC at the time of the submission of a Proposal, and in reporting on ARC-funded research projects, and notified to the other parties as soon as practicable after the conflict of interest is identified.

2.5.3.2 If, in the opinion of the ARC, any party involved in or associated with a Proposal has failed to disclose any such conflict of interest, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend for approval any or all Proposals involving that party.

2.5.3.3 If a conflict of interest exists or arises, the Administering Organisation must have processes in place and documented for managing the conflict of interest for the duration of the project. Such processes must comply with the NHMRC/ARC/UA *Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research* (2007) and any relevant successor document. In the event of any inconsistency between the original and any successor document, the latter document is to apply.

2.5.4 **Acknowledging ARC support**

2.5.4.1 The standard Funding Agreement requires that any ARC contribution to research and other activities funded by the ARC be appropriately acknowledged. When, at any time during or after completion of a project, the researcher or any other party publishes or produces material such as books, articles, newsletters or other literary or artistic works which relate to the research project, the Administering Organisation must ensure (wherever possible) that the ARC's contribution and support of the project is acknowledged in a prominent place and in an appropriate form. This acknowledgement should include the mention of the ARC as a funding body. Similar efforts should be made to acknowledge ARC support when participating in television and radio programs, when interviewed by the print media and when otherwise speaking publicly about the project.

2.5.4.2 Advice on acceptable forms of acknowledgement and use of the ARC logo is provided on the ARC's Web Site.

2.5.5 **Dissemination of research outputs**

2.5.5.1 The Australian Government makes a major investment in research to support its essential role in improving the wellbeing of our society. To maximise the benefits from research, findings need to be disseminated as broadly as possible to allow access by other researchers and the wider community.

2.5.5.2 The ARC acknowledges that researchers take into account a wide range of factors in deciding on the best outlets for publications arising from their research. Such considerations include the status and reputation of a journal or publisher, the peer review process of evaluating their research outputs, access by other stakeholders to their work, the likely impact of their work on users of research and the further dissemination and production of knowledge. Taking heed of these considerations, the ARC wants to ensure the widest possible dissemination of the research supported under its funding, in the most effective manner and at the earliest opportunity.

2.5.5.3 The ARC therefore encourages researchers to consider the benefits of depositing their data and any publications arising from a research project in an appropriate subject and/or institutional repository. If a researcher is not intending to deposit the data from a project in a repository within six-months of the completion of the research, he/she should include the reasons in the project's Final Report. Any research outputs that have been or will be deposited in appropriate repositories should be identified in the Final Report.

3. Funding

3.1 Level of funding

3.1.1 All amounts referred to in the LX Funding Rules and this Call are to be read as exclusive of Goods and Services Tax (if any), unless expressly stated otherwise.

- 3.1.2 *Social Sciences Collaboration* projects are expected to commence in 2009. The maximum level of funding which will be provided by the ARC for a *Social Sciences Collaboration* project is \$250,000 total funding over the life of the project.
- 3.1.3 If an ICI Proposal requests more than the maximum, level of funding specified in the LX Funding Rules, or this Call, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend the Proposal for approval.
- 3.1.4 The ARC may recommend partial funding of *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals. Funding must be used only for purposes that are consistent with the LX Funding Rules, this Call, and the Funding Agreement.
- 3.1.5 The ARC reserves the right to recommend levels of funding for a project at levels which may differ from those requested in the Proposal.
- 3.1.6 If a Proposal seeks funding for a project that involves items that the ARC does not permit, including those specified in Sections 6.4 and 6.5 of the LX Funding Rules and Sections 3.4 and 3.5 of this Call, then to that extent part or all of the Proposal will not be recommended or approved for funding. If exclusion of non-permitted items results in a Proposal falling beneath the specified minimum the ARC reserves the right to not recommend a Proposal for support.

3.2 Period of funding

- 3.2.1 Financial assistance may be payable under the LX Funding Rules for SSC projects in respect of the financial year 2008-09 and any subsequent years to which the ARC Act applies. Funding for approved projects will commence with effect from 1 January 2009, unless other arrangements are approved by the ARC.
- 3.2.2 Funding for *Social Sciences Collaboration* projects may be awarded for 1 to 5 years, subject to sufficient funding being available for *Linkage International*, the provisions of the ARC Act and continued satisfactory performance of the project.
- 3.2.3 The ARC may recommend funding for a project for a duration different from that requested in the Proposal.
- 3.2.4 The duration of the proposed *Social Sciences Collaboration* project should match the duration of the counterpart project proposed to the ESRC. Where the duration of counterpart projects do not match, this must be fully justified within the Proposal.

3.3 Types of research supported

- 3.3.1 Subject to Sections 6.4 and 6.5 of the LX Funding Rules and Sections 3.4 and 3.5 of this Call, the *Social Sciences Collaboration* supports the development of excellent collaborative research between researchers in Australia and the United Kingdom, including:

- a. pure basic research which is experimental and theoretical work undertaken to acquire new knowledge without looking for long-term benefits other than the advancement of knowledge;
- b. strategic basic research which is experimental and theoretical work undertaken to acquire new knowledge directed into specified broad areas that are expected to lead to useful discoveries. Such research provides the broad base of knowledge necessary to solve recognised practical problems; and
- c. applied research which is original work undertaken primarily to acquire new knowledge with a specific application in view. Such research is undertaken either to determine possible uses for the findings of basic research or to determine new ways of achieving some specific and predetermined objectives.

3.4 Restrictions on budget items

3.4.1 *Social Sciences Collaboration* funds only those costs which directly support a research project. The ARC may in its absolute discretion determine whether any project costs meet this requirement.

3.4.2 *Social Sciences Collaboration* has restrictions on the following budget items:

- a. **Capital works and general infrastructure**
Capital works and general infrastructure costs are not funded by the ARC, in whole or in part, under the *Social Sciences Collaboration*.
- b. **Salaries of Chief Investigators (CIs), Overseas Investigators (OIs) and Partner Investigators (PIs)**
The Commonwealth will not provide support, in whole or in part, to meet the salaries of CIs, OIs or PIs under the *Social Sciences Collaboration*. If a Proposal requests salary funding for a CI, OI or PI, the Proposal will not be recommended or approved for funding.
- c. **Teaching and Teaching Relief**
Social Sciences Collaboration funding is not provided to fund teaching and/or teaching relief.
- d. **Special Studies Programs**
Funds are not provided for travel or related expenses for researchers when on a Special Studies Program. However, some specified expenses may be funded for CIs, if approved by the ARC as a Special Condition, and provided that the Proposal clearly demonstrates that such expenses are not covered by a Special Studies Program, and that the research to be undertaken directly relates to the project.
- e. **Research support for Overseas Investigators and Partner Investigators**
Funds are not provided for OIs and/or PIs, apart from short-term project support for investigators visiting from overseas. Short-term project support may include support for travel within Australia for investigators visiting from overseas but excludes the cost of their travel to and from Australia.

- f. International students' fees, Higher Education Contribution Scheme (HECS) and Higher Education Loan Programme (HELP) liabilities Funds are not provided to pay the fees of international students or the HECS or the HELP liabilities for students.
- g. **Basic facilities**
The Administering Organisation must agree to provide the following basic facilities (where relevant to the Proposal), which will not be funded under the *Social Sciences Collaboration*:
 - i. accommodation (e.g. laboratory and office, suitably equipped and furnished in standard ways);
 - ii. access to workshop services (e.g. machine tools and qualified technicians available to each member of staff, according to need, for research);
 - iii. access to film or music editing facilities;
 - iv. access to a basic library collection;
 - v. standard reference materials or funds for abstracting services;
 - vi. provision of computers, including laptops (excluding access to high-performance computers or other specialised applications) and basic computing facilities such as printers, word processing and other standard software; and
 - vii. use of photocopiers, telephones, mail, fax, email and internet services.
- h. **Publication costs**
Publication costs, including printing and page costs, will not be funded under the *Social Sciences Collaboration*.
- i. **Costs not directly related to a project**
Costs not directly related to a project will not be funded, for example costs of a personal nature.

3.4.3 In addition to the items specified in Sections 6.4 and 6.5 of the LX Funding Rules and Sections 3.4 and 3.5 of this Call, the ARC may specify other items which may not be supported for a particular ICI. These other items, if any, are specified against the relevant initiative in Appendix 4, Column 7 of the LX Funding Rules and Appendix 3, Column 7 of this Call.

3.4.4 A Proposal for funding under the ARC *Social Sciences Collaboration* must not duplicate request for funding for items requested in the counterpart application submitted to the ESRC.

3.5 Areas of investigation/work not supported

3.5.1 Areas of investigation/work not supported under the *Social Sciences Collaboration* and LX are detailed in Section 6.5 of the LX Funding Rules are as follows:

- a. Medical and Dental Research;

- b. activities leading solely to the creation or performance of a work of art, including visual art, musical compositions, drama, dance, film, broadcasts, designs and literary works. These areas are generally covered by other Commonwealth Government funding agencies;
- c. projects such as uncritical compilations and purely descriptive catalogues or editions that do not involve original research;
- d. production of teaching materials, even though some research may be involved in their production;
- e. compilation of data, unless this is an integral part of a project, in which case the Proposal must include a statement indicating the research objectives to which the data would contribute; and/or
- f. development of research aids and tools (including computer programs), unless they form an integral part of the Proposal, in which case the Proposal must include a statement indicating the research objectives to which these activities would contribute.

3.5.2 If the ARC considers that a Proposal seeks funding for a project that involves any of the items not permitted under Sections 6.4 or 6.5 of the LX Funding Rules, or Sections 3.4 or 3.5 of this Call, then to that extent part or all of the Proposal will not be recommended or approved for funding. However, if the ARC considers other parts of the proposed project remain worthy of support, the ARC may recommend that a reduced amount of funding be approved for the Proposal.

3.6 Budget Items Supported

3.6.1 Subject to the restrictions on budget items listed in Section 6.4 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 3.4 of this Call, the *Social Sciences Collaboration* funding may be sought for:

- a. personnel (salaries and on-costs), including Research Associates, technicians, laboratory attendants, but excluding those items detailed in subsection 6.4.2b and 6.4.2e of the LX Funding Rules and Sections 3.4.2b and 3.4.2e of this Call;
- b. equipment;
- c. maintenance; and
- d. travel.

3.7 Budget Items Not Supported

3.7.1 In addition to the items specified in Sections 6.4 and 6.5 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 3.4 and 3.5 of this Call, the ARC may specify other items which may not be supported for a particular ICI. These other items, if any, are specified against the relevant initiative in Appendix 4, Column 7 of the LX Funding Rules and Appendix 3, Column 7 of this Call.

3.7.2 A Proposal for funding under the ARC *Social Sciences Collaboration* must not duplicate request for funding for items requested in the counterpart application submitted to the ESRC.

3.8 Number of Proposals

3.8.1 General requirements

3.8.1.1 The ARC may seek ICI Proposals, by the times specified in Appendix 4, Column 2 of the LX Funding Rules and Appendix 3, Column 2 of this Call. Proposals may be submitted only when invited by the ARC.

3.8.1.2 Unless otherwise specified, a Proposal must identify at least one researcher who will be a CI on the proposed project.

3.8.1.3 Proposals may be submitted only to the extent that, if all were successful, the researchers nominated on those Proposals would not be involved in more than the maximum numbers of projects permitted in 2009 (see Section 16.9 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 3.8 of this Call). For the purposes of applying these limits, the number of Proposals and the number of ongoing research projects which are to be funded in 2009 on which a researcher is nominated, are evaluated as at the closing time for the submission of Proposals, for the relevant category, regardless of any subsequent change in, or withdrawal of, Proposals. Submitting Proposals that exceed any or all of these limits may result in all Proposals involving the relevant researcher(s) not being recommended or approved for funding.

3.8.1.4 A person nominated as a CI on a *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal for funding commencing in 2009 may participate in only one *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal funded under this 2008 round.

3.8.1.5 Subject to Section 16.8.1 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 7.1 of this Call, research funding received or sought elsewhere (outside the *Linkage International* scheme) has no impact on eligibility for funding within the *Social Sciences Collaboration*. Nevertheless, the time commitment of the researcher(s) named in the *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal will be considered during the assessment process.

3.8.2 **Duplication**

3.8.2.1 Only one Proposal may be submitted to the *Social Sciences Collaboration* in respect of a particular project in the same funding round, regardless of any variation in the listed researchers and/or Administering Organisation (see Appendix 4, Column 7 of the LX Funding Rules and Appendix 3, Column 7 of this Call). If the ARC considers that a project described in a Proposal is the same as or similar (in whole or in part) to a project described in another Proposal that is submitted in the same funding round, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend for approval any or all of those Proposals, or recommend that a reduced amount of funding be provided for that or any similar Proposal. The ARC reserves the right to determine whether Proposals are duplicates or sufficiently similar to warrant one or more Proposals' being not recommended for approval.

4. **Organisational types, roles and eligibility**

4.1 **Eligible Organisations**

4.1.1 A *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal may be submitted to the ARC only by an Eligible Organisation. Eligible Organisations for the *Social Sciences Collaboration* are specified in Appendix 2, Item 2 and Appendix 4, Column 3 of the LX Funding Rules and Appendix 2 of this Call.

5. **Roles and eligibility for researchers**

5.1 **Researcher roles and general eligibility**

5.1.1 The researcher roles and eligibility requirements available for *Linkage International* participants are also described at Section 8 of the LX Funding Rules.

5.1.2 Roles that may be undertaken by researchers under the *Social Sciences Collaboration* are:

- a. Chief Investigator (CI);
- b. Overseas Investigator (OI); and
- c. Partner Investigator (PI).

5.1.3 Each *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal must include at least one CI and at least one OI. One OI must be a researcher from the UK.

5.1.4 All CIs, OIs and PIs nominated on a Proposal must satisfy the eligibility criteria for the role they are to perform, as specified in Section 8 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 5 of this Call.

Note: If a person has been nominated for an incorrect role on a Proposal (that is, for the role for which he/she does not satisfy the eligibility criteria),

the Proposal may be funded only if, upon request by the ARC, the Administering Organisation provides the ARC with relevant certifications from the person, and evidence that he/she satisfies the eligibility criteria, for the role he/she is to perform.

- 5.1.5 The first-named CI nominated on a Proposal will be considered the ‘Project Leader’ of the project and must be an employee, or holder of an adjunct appointment or equivalent, at the proponent Eligible Organisation.
- 5.1.6 A researcher nominated as a CI, OI or PI must take significant intellectual responsibility for the proposed project, any strategic decisions called for in its pursuit and the communication of results. The researcher must have the capacity to make a serious commitment to the project and cannot assume the role of a supplier of resources for work that will largely be placed in the hands of others. The ARC reserves the right to determine whether a person has the requisite capacity to perform the role.
- 5.1.7 At the time of the submission of a Proposal all obligations regarding previously funded projects involving the nominated CIs on the Proposal must have been fulfilled to the satisfaction of the ARC. Such obligations include the provision of satisfactory progress and final reports.
- 5.1.8 If the ARC considers that a researcher nominated in a Proposal as a CI, OI or PI does not meet the eligibility criteria in Sections 8.1-8.5 of the LX Funding Rules or Section 5 of this Call for the role which that researcher is to perform, the Proposal will not be recommended or approved for funding.
- 5.1.9 *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals need not include Partner Investigators and/or input from organisations which are not Eligible Organisations. However, a Proposal may be strengthened by the involvement of these parties. Where there are Partner Investigators, they are required to make a significant contribution of material resources from their organisation.

5.2 Eligibility criteria for Chief Investigators

- 5.2.1 To be eligible to be a CI, a person must meet the criteria stipulated Section 5.2 and subsections 5.1.6 and 5.1.7 of this Call.
- 5.2.2 A researcher nominated on a Proposal as a CI must meet at least one of the following criteria as at 1 January 2009, and for the full term of her/his participation in the project:
- a. be an employee of, and derive at least 50 per cent of her/his Earnings from, an Eligible Organisation listed in Appendix 2 for the relevant category under which funding is being sought; or

- b. be a holder of an Adjunct Appointment or equivalent, at an Eligible Organisation listed in Appendix 2 and not derive more than 50 percent of her/his Earnings from organisations outside the higher education sector that are funded predominantly from State/Territory or Commonwealth Government sources if the Government funding for her/his organisation, or her/his part of the organisation, is provided mainly for research activities. Such organisations include, but are not limited to, Commonwealth-funded Research Centres which are outside the higher education sector, AIMS, ANSTO, CSIRO, DSTO, GA and State/Territory Research and Development organisations and research areas within a Government entity; or
 - c. be a Federation Fellow.
- 5.2.3 He/she must reside predominantly in Australia for the full term of her/his participation in the project. If the person does not have permanent resident status he/she must obtain temporary or permanent resident status from the Department of Immigration and Citizenship before he/she may commence on the project.
- 5.2.4 A CI must not receive any Earnings from ARC funding for the project.
- 5.2.5 Notwithstanding a researcher's eligibility under the criteria above or below in this Section, a researcher undertaking undergraduate or postgraduate studies is not eligible to be a CI, unless the researcher's undergraduate or postgraduate studies lie outside the scope and area of the research proposed in the Proposal.
- 5.2.6 If a Proposal has been approved for funding and any or all of the CIs are at any time during the project no longer able to work as proposed on the project, the project may be continued with a replacement CI(s) provided that:
- a. approval is obtained from the Minister or the ARC for the change in CI; and
 - b. a replacement CI meets the following criteria at the time he/she is nominated as a replacement and for the full term of her/his participation in the project:
 - i. he/she must take significant intellectual responsibility for the proposed project and any strategic decisions called for in its pursuit and the communication of results. The researcher must have the capacity to make a serious commitment and contribution to the project and cannot assume the role of a supplier of resources for work that will largely be placed in the hands of others; and
 - ii. he/she must reside predominantly in Australia for the full term of her/his participation in the project; and
 - iii. all obligations regarding previously funded projects involving the nominated replacement CI must have been fulfilled to the satisfaction of the ARC; and

- iv. he/she must be:
 - (a) an employee of, and derive at least 50 per cent of her/his Earnings from, an Eligible Organisation listed in Appendix 2 for the relevant category under which funding is being sought; or
 - (b) be a holder of an Adjunct Appointment or equivalent, at an Eligible Organisation listed in Appendix 2 and not derive more than 50 percent of her/his Earnings from organisations outside the higher education sector that are funded predominantly from State/Territory or Commonwealth Government sources if the Government funding for her/his organisation, or her/his part of the organisation, is provided mainly for research activities. Such organisations include, but are not limited to, Commonwealth-funded Research Centres which are outside the higher education sector, AIMS, ANSTO, CSIRO, DSTO, GA and State/Territory Research and Development organisations and research areas within a Government entity; or
 - (c) A Federation Fellow.

5.3 Eligibility criteria for Overseas Investigators

- 5.3.1 A researcher who is employed by an overseas research organisation and who is providing significant commitment, intellectual input and relevant expertise to the project may be able to participate as an OI.
- 5.3.2 A researcher nominated on a Proposal as a OI must meet the following criteria as at 1 January 2009, and for the full term of her/his participation in the project. He/she must:
 - a. not meet the eligibility criteria specified in subsection 5.2.1 for a CI;
 - b. be employed by an overseas research organisation substantially funded to undertake research;
 - c. secure a significant contribution of cash, in-kind or other resources from the researcher's organisation for the proposed project (having regard to the total cost of the project and the relative contribution of other investigators); and
 - d. take significant intellectual responsibility as outlined in subsection 5.1.6.
- 5.3.3 If a Proposal has been approved for funding and any or all OIs are at any time during the project no longer able to work as proposed on the project, the project may be continued with a replacement OI(s) provided that:
 - a. approval is obtained from the Minister or the ARC for the change in OI; and

- b. the replacement OI(s) must:
 - i. meet the eligibility criteria specified in subsection 5.3.2b, 5.3.2c and 5.3.2d at the time of her/his nomination and for the full term of her/his participation in the project; and
 - ii. not meet the eligibility criteria specified in subsection 5.2.6.b.iv. for a replacement CI.

5.3.4 Only those OIs who are employed by an overseas research organisation and who are providing significant commitment, intellectual input and relevant expertise to the project are to be listed as an 'OI' on the Proposal.

5.4 Eligibility criteria for Partner Investigators

5.4.1 To be eligible to be a PI on a Proposal, a researcher must:

- a. not meet the eligibility criteria specified in subsection 5.2.1 for a CI or subsection 5.3.2.b for an OI;
- b. secure a significant contribution of cash, in-kind or other resources from the researcher's organisation for the proposed project (having regard to the total cost of the project and the relative contribution of other investigators); and
- c. take significant intellectual responsibility as outlined in subsection 5.1.6.

5.4.2 If a Proposal has been approved for funding and any or all PIs are at any time during the project no longer able to work as proposed on the project, the project may be continued with a replacement PI(s) provided that:

- a. approval is obtained from the Minister or the ARC for the change in PI; and
- b. the replacement PI(s) must:
 - i. meet the eligibility criteria specified in subsection 5.4.1.b and 5.4.1.c at the time of her/his nomination and for the full term of her/his participation in the project; and
 - ii. not meet the eligibility criteria specified in subsection 5.2.6.b.iv for a replacement CI or subsection 5.3.3.b for a replacement OI.

6. Cross-scheme issues

6.1 Cross-scheme funding

6.1.1 The ARC will not duplicate financial assistance for research already funded by the Commonwealth or which is likely to be funded from other Commonwealth funding sources (including under other ARC funding schemes). The ARC reserves the right to determine if a Proposal duplicates or is likely to duplicate research being funded by another Commonwealth source. In such circumstances the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to recommend that the Proposal not be approved for funding. However, if the ARC considers that parts of the proposed project remain worthy of support, the ARC may assess the Proposal in the usual way and the ARC may instead recommend that a reduced amount of funding be approved for the Proposal.

6.2 Cross-scheme eligibility

6.2.1 If a researcher nominated as a CI, OI or PI on a Proposal is involved in a funding request or requests for any proposed research project (including fellowships), salary or equipment that has been submitted under this or any other ARC scheme or to any other Commonwealth of Australia funding body, the Proposal must contain details of the request(s) and summary details of all other funding must be included in the Proposal. Proposals must indicate the level of funding obtained for approved projects (including fellowships), or being sought for current or future projects (including fellowships), from all Commonwealth funding sources and must list all existing research funding from all Commonwealth sources. If these processes are not observed, or the ARC determines that incomplete, misleading or inaccurate details were included in the Proposal, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend the *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal for approval.

6.2.2 The ARC may liaise with other funding agencies to discuss any overlap between proposed or existing research projects in order to avoid duplication of funding. The ARC may, for this purpose, disclose to such an agency any information in a Proposal.

6.3 Funding of Medical and Dental Research

6.3.1 Pursuant to Section 6.5 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 3.5 of this Call, the ARC does not fund Medical and Dental Research. The ARC reserves the right to determine conclusively whether the proposed research involves Medical and Dental Research.

- 6.3.2 The NHMRC funds a range of Medical and Dental Research. In some instances, it may not be clear to the intending Administering Organisation whether or not a Proposal would fall within this area of research such that it would not be funded by the ARC. In these cases, an Eligibility Advising Request may be submitted to the ARC, by forwarding a summary of not more than two pages outlining the proposed research, according to the process described in Section 10.1 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 8.1 of this Call. The ARC may use the Eligibility Advising Request to decide whether it would be willing to accept a Proposal involving the proposed research. For the purpose of advising in relation to proposed research the ARC may, but is under no obligation to, refer Eligibility Advising Requests to the NHMRC in order to consult with the NHMRC about the likelihood of the NHMRC's funding proposed research in the relevant research area. The intending Administering Organisation will be advised of the ARC's decision approximately two weeks after the due date for such requests.
- 6.3.3 If the Administering Organisation submits, or is intending to submit, applications to the ARC and the NHMRC for similar research it must cross-reference the Proposals and must declare the dual submission on the ARC application form.
- 6.3.4 In all cases, whether or not the above process is observed, the ARC reserves the right to decide whether a proposed project falls, or elements of a project, fall within the area of Medical and Dental Research.

7. Researchers from Commonwealth-funded Research Centres

7.1 Duplication with Commonwealth-funded Research Centres

- 7.1.1 Section 16.8 of the LX Funding Rules describes conditions pertaining to researchers from Commonwealth-funded Research Centres and potential duplication of funding.
- 7.1.2 Funding will not be provided for research already funded by a Commonwealth-funded Research Centre or which could reasonably be expected to be supported by the Centre given its research program and its level of funding. The proposed research outlined in the *Social Sciences Collaboration* must not duplicate work that, in the opinion of the ARC, is, will be, or could reasonably be expected to be, undertaken by a Commonwealth-funded Research Centre.
- Letter showing association between the Proposal and a Commonwealth-funded Research Centre.
- 7.1.3 If a *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal identifies a CI participant who is associated with a Commonwealth-funded Research Centre, and the person will continue to be associated with the Centre after 31 December 2008, the Proposal must include a letter from the Centre Director which:
- a. describes the relationship between the proposed research and the research undertaken by the Centre;
 - b. explains how, and explicitly states that, the proposed research does not

duplicate work that is already funded or could reasonably be expected to be funded by the Centre; and

- c. if Commonwealth funding for the Centre is likely, or is due, to terminate during the 2009 calendar year, provides details regarding the future of the Centre's research activities and the employment circumstances of the participant.

7.1.3.1 This letter should be no longer than one page. If such a letter is not provided in the Proposal, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend the Proposal for approval.

7.1.3.2 The ARC reserves the right to determine if a proposed project duplicates work funded or likely to be funded as part of a Commonwealth-funded Research Centre. In making a determination the ARC may have regard to, among other matters, the Centre application, Centre funding agreement, business plans, strategic plans and/or Annual Reports. If the ARC considers that any information contained in the *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal, the accompanying letter from the Centre Director and/or the Centre Annual Report is incomplete, inaccurate, or misleading in a material respect, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend the Proposal for approval. If, however, the ARC considers that parts of the proposed project remain worthy of support, the ARC may assess the Proposal in the usual way and instead recommend that reduced funding be approved for the Proposal. If the ARC becomes aware of duplication of funding after a project has been funded the project may be terminated and the Centre Director may be asked to show cause why any ARC funding for the Commonwealth-funded Research Centre should not be terminated.

8. Application process

8.1 Eligibility Exemption and Eligibility Advising Process

8.1.1 The LX Funding Rules and this Call describe a number of situations where it is possible to submit an Eligibility Exemption Request or an Eligibility Advising Request for the purposes of obtaining an exemption or advising from the ARC regarding the eligibility of a proposed Proposal. All such Requests must be submitted on the ARC Eligibility Exemption/Advising Request Form via the Research Office of the intending Administering Organisation, and, subject to subsection 8.1.2, be received by the ARC by 5.00 pm (AEST) Thursday 24 April 2008

8.1.2 Subject to this subsection, Eligibility Exemption Requests and Eligibility Advising Requests received after 5:00pm (AEST) Thursday 24 April 2008 for *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals, will not be considered. The ARC may, in its absolute discretion, and only in exceptional circumstances, accept late Eligibility Exemption/Advising Requests.

- 8.1.3 An exemption from the eligibility requirements may be granted only if an Eligibility Exemption/Advising Request has been submitted in accordance with the requirements of Section 8.1. Similarly, an Eligibility Advising may be provided by the ARC only upon receipt of an Eligibility Advising Request which has been submitted in accordance with the requirements of Section 8.1. An Eligibility Advising, however, does not constitute an exemption from the eligibility requirements - rather it provides an indication to potential Applicants about the likelihood of a Proposal's satisfying certain eligibility requirements if the Proposal is submitted in accordance with the circumstances outlined in the Eligibility Advising Request.
- 8.1.4 Eligibility Exemption and Eligibility Advising Requests must include the supporting information required in each of the situations where exemptions/advisings are being sought, as described elsewhere in this document. The Research Office will be advised of the outcome of any such request as soon as possible to allow time for a detailed Proposal to be completed if appropriate. If an Eligibility Exemption or Eligibility Advising is given, the ARC will provide an identifying number for the exemption/advising which is to be quoted on any associated Proposal which is submitted to the ARC.
- 8.1.5 The ARC Eligibility Exemption/Advising Request form is available on the ARC's Web Site.

8.2 Proposals

- 8.2.1 *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals will be received and considered by the ARC under the ARC's LX Funding Rules.
- 8.2.2 A Proposal should be submitted as a mature research plan ready for implementation. The Proposal must contain all the information necessary for its assessment without the need for further written or oral explanation, or reference to additional documentation, unless requested by the ARC, including its College of Experts.
- 8.2.3 All details in the Proposal must be current at the time of submission.
- 8.2.4 In submitting a Proposal, the Administering Organisation and the CIs, OIs and PIs nominated in the Proposal are consenting to the Proposal's being assessed under the ARC's peer assessment procedures and agree to the release of the Proposal to third parties for assessment purposes.
- 8.2.5 Proposals for the *Social Sciences Collaboration* must satisfy the requirements specified in the LX Funding Rules and the requirements specified in this Call. The key details and criteria relating to the *Social Sciences Collaboration* are summarised at Appendix 4 of the LX Funding Rules and Appendix 3 of this Call.
- 8.2.6 *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals must comply with the Application Process specified at Sections 10 and 16.10 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 8 of this Call.

8.2.7 A Proposal should demonstrate the linkage and synergy between the Proposal and its British counterpart and how this is expected to stimulate enhanced collaboration among social science researchers and create networks linking individuals and centres in Australia and the UK.

8.2.8 Notwithstanding subsection 8.2.7 of this Call, a Proposal will be assessed as a discrete project.

8.3 Certification

8.3.1 The Administering Organisation must obtain the agreement of all parties necessary to allow the proposed project to proceed. These agreements must be attested to by hand-written signatures and certification from all relevant persons and organisations involved in the Proposal. These agreements, certifications and signatures are to be retained by the Administering Organisation which must provide them if requested by the ARC. A form is available for this purpose on the ARC's Web Site. If the Administering Organisation fails to provide this material upon request, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend the Proposal for approval.

8.3.2 A Proposal must be submitted through the appropriate Research Office. If a Proposal has not been submitted through the appropriate Research Office/Chief Executive Officer for certification, the Proposal will not be recommended or approved for funding.

8.4 Submission of Proposals

8.4.1 Unless otherwise notified in writing, *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals consist of two parts:

- a. an on-line form which must be completed and submitted in the ARC on-line grants application management system accessible via the ARC's Web Site; and
- b. additional text which must be submitted as part of the paper version of the Proposal, including relevant supporting documentation and investigator curricula vitae.

8.4.2 Proposals for an ICI may be submitted only when invited by the ARC, at the times and in the manner outlined within Section 16 of the LX Funding Rules. The ARC may seek Proposals relating to a particular ICI described in Appendix 4 of the LX Funding Rules and Appendix 3 of this Call.

8.4.3 **Format**

8.4.3.1 All documents must be written in English and must comply strictly with the format, content and submission requirements as specified in the LX Funding Rules, this Call and the '*Linkage International Instructions to Applicants for funding commencing in 2009 – Social Sciences Collaboration ICI*' document issued by the ARC. If a Proposal fails to meet any format, content or submission requirements, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend the Proposal for approval.

8.4.3.2 All pages of additional text must be in black type, use a single column and 12-point font size on white A4 paper, be printed on one side only and unbound, with all margins being at least 2cm. As Proposals may be scanned electronically, a highly legible font type must be used, such as Arial, Courier, Palatino, Times New Roman and Helvetica. Variants such as mathematical typesetting languages may also be used. References may be reproduced in 10-point font size. Colour graphs or colour photographs may be included but they will be reproduced in black and white and the reproduction quality may not be optimal. Finely detailed graphics and greyscale may also not be precisely reproduced.

8.4.3.3 The pages of the Proposal must be numbered consecutively starting from page 1.

8.4.4 **How to complete and submit a Proposal**

8.4.4.1 *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals must comply with the submission requirements in subsection 10.4.4 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 8.4.4 of this Call.

8.4.4.2 Administering Organisations must use the form within the ARC's on-line grants application management system accessible via the ARC's Web Site.

8.4.4.3 A separate document '*Linkage International Instructions to Applicants for funding commencing in 2009 – Social Sciences Collaboration ICI*' document will be available from the ARC's Web Site. This document specifies a range of requirements for Proposals and also assists parties in preparing Proposals.

8.4.4.4 Administering Organisations may have internal closing dates for Proposal preparation which precede ARC closing times.

8.4.4.5 Research Offices have access to the ARC on-line grants application management system and will allocate User IDs and passwords to enable researchers at their organisations to access the system and prepare Proposals. If a researcher has previously been allocated access, her/his User ID and password should still be current. If a researcher does not have a Research Office or equivalent unit, he/she should email gamsids@arc.gov.au at the ARC for assistance.

8.4.4.6 Research Offices should submit forms in the ARC's on-line grants application management system and forward the Proposal and a copy which must, aside from the required signatures on the certification pages and additional text, identically match the contents of the submitted on-line application form. Proposals should be sent:

by courier to:

OR

by mail to:

Linkage International Coordinator
Australian Research Council
1st Floor, 8 Brindabella Circuit
CANBERRA AIRPORT ACT 2609

Linkage International Coordinator
Australian Research Council
GPO Box 2702
CANBERRA ACT 2601

8.4.5 **Number of copies**

8.4.5.1 In addition to the on-line form, the paper part of a Proposal must be submitted along with an identical paper copy. The paper version must be clipped, not stapled. The paper parts of the Proposal must be submitted with the additional text, including supporting documentation, interleaved appropriately (see the '*Linkage International Instructions to Applicants for funding commencing in 2009 - Social Sciences Collaboration ICI*').

8.4.6 **Closing times for Proposals**

8.4.6.1 Subject to subsection 10.4.6.3 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 8.4.6.3 of this Call, the paper parts of a *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal must be received by the ARC, and the on-line form completed using the ARC's on-line grants application management system must be submitted by **5:00pm (AEST) Wednesday 4 June 2008**.

8.4.6.2 Proposals may be withdrawn but additions, deletions and modifications will not be accepted after submission, unless invited by the ARC.

8.4.6.3 If the required parts of a *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal are not received by the ARC by 5:00pm (AEST) Wednesday 4 June 2008, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend the Proposal for approval. In deciding whether to recommend or not recommend such a Proposal the ARC may take into account whether:

- a. in the opinion of the ARC, exceptional circumstances apply; or
- b. the Administering Organisation is able to provide evidence to the satisfaction of the ARC that all the documents were despatched by the Administering Organisation sufficiently in advance of the closing time for the documents to have been delivered to the ARC by the closing time in the normal course of events.

9. Selection and approval process

9.1 Selection criteria

9.1.1 All *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals which meet the eligibility criteria will be assessed and merit ranked in accordance with the selection criteria specified as follows:

a. Investigator(s) (40%)

Assessment against this criterion will take into account the parties who are to be involved in and associated with the project, including their:

- i. track record relative to opportunities;
- ii. capacity to undertake the proposed collaborative research in relation to the objectives of the *Social Sciences Collaboration*; and
- iii. commitments to other research programs, teaching, committee memberships and administrative duties.

b. Nature and merit of the Proposal (60%)

Assessment against these criteria will take into account the nature and merit of the Proposal in relation to the objectives of the *Social Sciences Collaboration* as indicated in subsection 16.1.6 and Appendix 4, Column 5 of the LX Funding Rules, and subsection 2.3.2 and Appendix 3, Column 5 of this Call, including:

i. Approach (25%)

- does the Proposal aim to stimulate enhanced collaboration and create networks linking social sciences researchers in Australia and the UK?
- are the conceptual framework, design, methods and analyses adequately developed, well integrated, and appropriate to the aims of the Proposal?
- the value for money and budget justification, including where appropriate any cash or in-kind financial contributions to be made from non-ARC sources.

ii. Significance and innovation (25%)

- does the proposed collaborative research address an important problem?
- will the anticipated outcomes advance the knowledge base of the discipline?

iii. National Benefit (10%)

- what is the potential of the collaborative research to result in economic and/or social benefits to Australia from the expected results and outcomes of the project?
- is the collaborative research principally focussed upon a topic or outcome that falls within one of the National Research

Priorities and associated Priority Goals (see Appendix 1 of this Call), and if so how does it address the National Research Priority?

9.2 Assessment and selection procedure

9.2.1 Assessment and selection process

9.2.1.1 Each Proposal will be initially assessed as a discrete project and may be recommended for funding regardless of the counterpart application.

9.2.2 Assessment of *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals will be undertaken in accordance with Section 11 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 9 of this Call.

9.2.3 Assessment of Proposals is undertaken by the ARC, which has the right to make recommendations solely on the basis of its expertise, and which may:

- a. consider if a Proposal satisfies the eligibility criteria set out in the LX Funding Rules and this Call;
- b. identify and consider any other matters that the LX Funding Rules state may result in the ARC's recommending that a Proposal not be approved for funding;
- c. assign assessors to review Proposals;
- d. seek comments on assessors' reports from the parties involved in the Proposal;
- e. rank each Proposal relative to the others on the basis of the Proposal, any assessors' reports, and any response to those assessment reports;
- f. assess and recommend the amount of funding to be made available for a Proposal; and/or
- g. prepare funding recommendations.

9.2.4 The ARC College of Experts assists with the assessment of Proposals. The ARC has procedures for managing organisational and personal conflicts of interest experienced by members of the College of Experts, members of other ARC committees, ARC staff, and other assessors, and for enabling individuals to withdraw from the assessment process for particular Proposals where any actual or perceived conflict may exist.

9.2.5 The ARC may refer recommended Proposals to the ESRC for further coordinated discussion.

9.2.6 ARC funding of a *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal may be subject to the decision of the ESRC to fund the counterpart application submitted to the ESRC.

9.2.7 Preference may be given for the funding of a *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposal where the ESRC has decided to fund the counterpart application submitted by the overseas counterparts.

9.2.8 **Exclusion of Proposals**

9.2.8.1 In accordance with subsection 11.2.2 of the LX Funding Rules the ARC may recommend exclusion of proposals at any time during the selection process.

9.2.8.2 The ARC will not recommend for approval, and the Minister will not approve for funding, any Proposal that fails to satisfy the ‘eligibility criteria’ set out in the LX Funding Rules and this Call, including:

- a. if the ARC considers that the Proposal seeks funding for any of the items not permitted under Sections 6.4 or 6.5 of the LX Funding Rules and the ARC considers no other part of the proposed project remains worthy of support (subsection 6.5.2 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 3.5.2 of this Call);
- b. if the Proposal seeks salary funding for a CI, OI or PI (subsection 6.4.2.b of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 3.4.2.b of this Call);
- c. if the Proposal was not submitted by an Eligible Organisation (Section 7.1 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 4.1 of this Call);
- d. if the Proposal did not nominate at least one CI and one OI (subsection 8.1.2 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 5.1.3 of this Call);
- e. if the ARC considers that a researcher nominated in the Proposal as CI, OI or PI does not meet the eligibility criteria as specified in Section 8.1 or Sections 8.2, 8.3, 8.4 or 8.5 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 5.1 or 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 or 5.5 of this Call for the role which that researcher is to perform (subsection 8.1.7 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 5.1.8 of this Call);
- f. if the ARC determines that a proposed project would fall within the area of Medical and Dental Research (subsection 6.5.1.a and Section 9.3 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 3.5.1.a and Section 6.3 of this Call);
- g. if a Proposal has not been submitted through the appropriate Research Office/Chief Executive Officer for certification (subsection 10.3.2 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 8.3.2 of this Call);
- h. if the Proposal is not for a program of research, or a program that supports the conduct of a program of research; and

9.2.8.3 The ARC may in its absolute discretion decide not to recommend for approval a Proposal if:

- a. in the opinion of the ARC, any researcher nominated in the Proposal as a CI, OI, PI or ARCIF candidate has caused or has significantly contributed to the failure of an organisation to meet its obligations under any current or previous funding agreement with the ARC (subsection 4.1.5 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 2.1.5 of this Call);

- b. in the opinion of the ARC, any party involved in or associated with the Proposal has failed to disclose any conflict of interest which has the potential to influence, or appear to influence, the request for funding, the research and activities, or publications and media reports related to the Proposal (subsection 4.4.3.2 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 2.5.3.2 of this Call);
- c. if the Proposal has sought funding which is less than the minimum amount or more than the maximum amount of funding allowed (subsection 6.1.2 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 3.1.3 of this Call);
- d. the limits on the number of Proposals and projects permissible are exceeded (subsection 6.6.1.2 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 3.8.1.3 and 3.8.1.4 of this Call);
- e. the ARC considers that the project described in the Proposal is the same as or similar (in whole or in part) to a project described in another Proposal that is submitted in the same funding round (subsection 6.6.2.1 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 3.8.2.1 of this Call);
- f. where required so the Proposal does not include a required letter from a Centre Director (subsection 16.8.1.2 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 7.1.3 of this Call);
- g. in the opinion of the ARC, a Proposal duplicates or is likely to duplicate research already being funded, or which is likely to be funded, by the Commonwealth (subsections 4.4.2.2, 9.1.1 and 9.3.1 of the LX Funding Rules and subsections 2.5.2.2, 6.1.1 and 6.3.1 of this Call);
- h. where required the Proposal does not include details of other funding or funding requests (subsection 9.2.1 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 6.2.1 of this Call);
- i. the ARC considers incomplete, inaccurate, or misleading material has been provided in relation to the Proposal or if the Administering Organisation and/or researchers nominated in the Proposal as a CI, OI or PI have provided the ARC with incomplete, unsatisfactory, inaccurate or misleading information in relation to the provision of advice relating to, or in the reporting of progress of, a funded project (subsections 9.2.1, 14.5.2 and 14.5.3 of the LX Funding Rules and subsections 6.2.1, 12.5.2 and 12.5.3 of this Call);
- j. when requested, the Administering Organisation fails to provide the signed certifications and agreements of all parties necessary to allow the proposed project to proceed (Section 10.3 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 8.3 of this Call);
- k. the on-line part of a Proposal is not submitted, or the paper part of the Proposal is not received by the ARC, by the closing time (subsection 10.4.6 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 8.4.6 of this Call);

- l. the circumstances of a Proposal are such that an eligibility exemption or advising is required but the required process has not been followed (including that the deadlines are not met) (Section 10.1 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 8.1 of this Call);
- m. the Proposal fails to meet any format and other submission requirements (Section 10.4 of the LX Funding Rules and Section 8.4 of this Call);
- n. where required to do so the Proposal does not include a required letter from a Centre Director (subsection 16.8.1.2 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 7.1.3 of this Call);
- o. it is an ICI Proposal and the collaborating overseas agency or agencies have decided not to fund the counterpart Proposal(s) (subsection 16.10.4 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 9.2.6 of this Call); or
- p. it is an ICI Proposal and it fails to meet one or more requirement specified against the relevant initiative in Appendix 4 of the LX Funding Rules and Appendix 3 of this Call.

9.2.9 **Assessment**

9.2.9.1 In addition to assessment by the ARC, a Proposal may at the ARC's absolute discretion be assessed by external assessors. Assessors may be drawn from a range of organisations to reduce the potential for conflicts of interest. Proposals will be assessed against the criteria set out in the LX Funding Rules and this Call, the reports by the assessors may include written comment.

9.2.9.2 The ARC reserves the right to make recommendations for funding to the Minister based on any number of assessments or solely on the assessment of the ARC.

9.2.9.3 Administering Organisations may provide written notification to the ARC naming any person or persons whom they do not wish to assess a Proposal. A 'Request not to Assess' form is available from the ARC's Web Site for this purpose. Such notifications must contain detailed justification and be submitted using the 'Request not to Assess' form through the Administering Organisation's Research Office. This notification must not accompany the Proposal. The notification must be received by the ARC by the closing time for submission of Proposals, **5:00pm (AEST) Wednesday 4 June 2008**, and be sent:

by courier to:

OR

by mail to:

Linkage International Coordinator
 Australian Research Council
 1st Floor, 8 Brindabella Circuit
 CANBERRA AIRPORT ACT 2609

Linkage International Coordinator
 Australian Research Council
 GPO Box 2702
 CANBERRA ACT 2601

The ARC will consider the justification put forward to exclude any person as an assessor, but may choose not to give effect to such a request.

9.2.10 **Rejoinder**

9.2.10.1 Assessors' written comments, if obtained, may be provided to allow the opportunity for a rejoinder to the comments. Names of assessors are not provided. At the same time, the ARC may add questions to the material sent for rejoinder. A period of up to 2 weeks may be given to submit a rejoinder to the ARC. Subject to subsection 11.2.4.2 of the LX Funding Rules and subsection 9.2.10.2 of this Call, rejoinders will not be accepted after the nominated closing time for rejoinder submissions. The ARC may limit the length of rejoinders which can be submitted. Unless otherwise notified by the ARC in writing, rejoinders must be submitted through the ARC's on-line grants application management system.

9.2.10.2 The ARC may, in its absolute discretion, and only in exceptional circumstances, accept late rejoinders.

9.2.11 **Recommendations**

9.2.11.1 The ARC's recommendations will be submitted in accordance with the ARC Act to the Minister for consideration. The Minister determines which *Social Sciences Collaboration* Proposals will be approved and the amount and timing of financial assistance to be paid to Administering Organisations for approved Proposals.

9.2.11.2 Under the ARC Act, the Minister must not approve for funding any Proposal that fails to meet the eligibility criteria set out in the LX Funding Rules and this Call.

9.3 **Offer of funding**

9.3.1 Administering Organisations whose Proposals are approved will be:

- a. notified in a letter of offer that will indicate the financial assistance to be offered; and
- b. provided with a copy of a Funding Agreement for signing.

10. **Appeals process**

10.1.1 Appeals will be considered only against administrative process issues and not, for example, against committee recommendations or assessor ratings and comments.

10.1.2 Appeals must be made on the appeals form available from the ARC's Web Site. The form must be lodged by the Administering Organisation and must be authorised by a Deputy Vice Chancellor (Research), Chief Executive Officer or equivalent. Appeals must be received by the ARC within 28 days of the date on the letter notifying the outcome of Proposals.

- 10.1.3 Appeals must be addressed and sent:
- | | | |
|--|----|---|
| by courier to: | OR | by mail to: |
| The Appeals Officer
Research Council
1st Floor, 8 Brindabella Circuit
CANBERRA AIRPORT ACT 2609 | | The Appeals Officer
Australian Research Council
GPO Box 2702
CANBERRA ACT 2601 |

11. Administration of funding

11.1 Funding Agreement

- 11.1.1 All parties involved in a Proposal should familiarise themselves with the standard Funding Agreement, but only the Administering Organisation and the ARC will be parties to the Funding Agreement. Parties involved in a funded project must accept the terms of the Funding Agreement and the Administering Organisation must sign the Funding Agreement before the ARC will commence payments.
- 11.1.2 Projects must commence as required by the Funding Agreement. Failure to do so may result in termination of the Funding Agreement.
- 11.1.3 Administering Organisations should note that the Funding Agreement covers post-award management, including reporting requirements and financial management. The standard Funding Agreement can be viewed on the ARC's Web Site.

11.2 Varying the Funding Agreement

- 11.2.1 Requests to vary the Funding Agreement must be forwarded in writing by the Administering Organisation's Research Office to the ARC. Forms are available on the ARC's Web Site. Amendment of any clauses of the Funding Agreement will be at the ARC's absolute discretion.

11.3 Varying the Funding Approval

- 11.3.1 Requests to vary the funding approval must be forwarded in writing by the Administering Organisation's Research Office to the ARC.
- 11.3.2 The funding approval may be varied by varying the amount of financial assistance, the period of financial assistance, the name of the person leading the research project and/or the name of the organisation receiving financial assistance.
- 11.3.3 The Minister may vary the funding approval if:
- any of the organisations involved in the project end, or substantially change, their involvement with the project;

- b. the research project changes so that it is no longer consistent with the description in the funding approval as previously approved or as otherwise varied;
- c. the desirable period of funding for a project is not consistent with the period in the funding approval as previously approved or as otherwise varied;
- d. the person nominated in the funding approval as the person leading the research project, or any such replacement person approved by the Minister, ceases to lead the project; and/or
- e. the ARC considers and recommends that the particular circumstances of the project warrant variation of the funding approval, providing such variation is reasonably justified upon the facts of the case and any variation or change to the project accords with the *Social Sciences Collaboration* objectives.

11.4 Reports

- 11.4.1 Administering Organisations are required to submit reports to the ARC concerning funded projects, in the format and by the due dates detailed in the Funding Agreement.

12. Other matters

12.1 Applicable law

- 12.1.1 The ARC is required to comply with the requirements of the *Privacy Act 1988* and the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*.

12.2 Confidentiality

- 12.2.1 The ARC will treat information contained in a Proposal as confidential. However, the ARC may disclose information contained in a Proposal, or otherwise provided to the ARC, to the extent that the information:
 - a. is disclosed by the ARC to its advisers (including external assessors), officers, employees or other third parties in order to assess, evaluate or verify the accuracy or completeness of a Proposal;
 - b. is disclosed to the ARC's personnel to enable effective management or auditing of LX or any Funding Agreement;
 - c. is disclosed by the ARC to the Minister;
 - d. is shared by the ARC within the ARC's organisation, or with another Commonwealth Department or agency, where this serves the Commonwealth's legitimate interests;
 - e. is authorised or required by law to be disclosed;

- f. is disclosed in accordance with any other provision of the LX Funding Rules and this Call or the Funding Agreement; or
- g. is in the public domain otherwise than due to a breach by the ARC of any obligation of confidence.

12.2.2 Where information contained in a Proposal is made available to third parties for evaluation or assessment purposes the ARC will require the third parties to maintain the confidentiality of the material. Notwithstanding the above, and in addition to the exemptions listed at subsection 12.2.1 of this Call, the ARC may publicise and report offers or awards of funding, including information about the proposed research; the name of nominated CIs, OIs or PIs and their organisations; the name of the Administering Organisation and any other parties involved in or associated with the project; the title and summary descriptions of the project and its intended outcomes (including the national/community benefits that are expected to arise from the research); and the level and nature of financial assistance from the ARC. Administering Organisations should ensure that information contained in the project title and summaries would not, if released, compromise their own requirements for confidentiality (such as future protection of intellectual property).

12.3 Project descriptions

12.3.1 In making public information about a Proposal which has been approved for funding, the ARC may use a project description, including title and summary, which may differ from that provided in the Proposal.

12.4 Intellectual property

12.4.1 The ARC does not claim ownership of any intellectual property in a Proposal or which is created or developed from the conduct of a project funded under the *Social Sciences Collaboration*.

12.4.2 However, all Proposals become the property of the ARC on submission. Administering Organisations submit their Proposals on the basis that the ARC may copy, modify and otherwise deal with information contained in a Proposal (and allow any external assessor or other third party to do the same) for any purpose related to:

- a. the evaluation and assessment of Proposals;
- b. verifying the accuracy, consistency and adequacy of information contained in a Proposal, or otherwise provided to the ARC;
- c. the preparation and management of any Funding Agreement; or
- d. the administration or management of the NCGP.

- 12.4.3 If a Proposal contains information belonging to a third party, the Administering Organisation must ensure that it has in place all necessary consents to allow the ARC to deal with that information in accordance with the LX Funding Rules, prior to the Administering Organisation's submitting its Proposal.
- 12.4.4 Except with written approval from the ARC, all Proposals and ARC-funded research projects must comply with the *National Principles of Intellectual Property Management for Publicly Funded Research* (available on the ARC's Web Site) and accord with any intellectual property policies of the researcher's organisation.

12.5 Incomplete or misleading information

- 12.5.1 It is a serious offence to provide false or misleading information to the Commonwealth of Australia.
- 12.5.2 If the ARC considers that a Proposal is incomplete, inaccurate or contains false or misleading information, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to recommend that the Proposal not be approved for funding.
- 12.5.3 If an Administering Organisation and/or researcher nominated in a Proposal as a CI, OI or PI has provided the ARC with incomplete, unsatisfactory, inaccurate, or misleading information in relation to any Proposal for, or in the provision of advice relating to, or reporting of progress of, a project funded by the Commonwealth, the ARC may in its absolute discretion decide to not recommend the Proposal for approval and/or terminate projects involving that organisation/person if funded and require the Administering Organisation to repay some or all of the funding.
- 12.5.4 If the ARC considers that omissions, or inclusion of misleading information, are intentional, or if there is evidence of misconduct, the ARC may refer the matter for investigation with a view to prosecution under Commonwealth criminal law. The Commonwealth of Australia is committed to protecting its revenue, expenditure and property from any attempt, by members of the public, contractors, sub-contractors, agents, intermediaries or its own employees, to gain financial or other benefits by deceit.
- 12.5.5 Examples of misleading information and misconduct are, but are not restricted to:
- a. providing fictitious track records;
 - b. making false claims in publications records (such as describing a paper as accepted for publication when it has only been submitted); or
 - c. failing to disclose to the ARC the existence, and nature, of actual or potential conflicts of interest of any of the parties involved in the Proposal/project (such as any affiliations or financial interest in any organisation that has a direct interest in the matter or outputs of the project).

12.6 Insurance and liabilities

12.6.1 Administering Organisations are subject to the liability, indemnity and insurance provisions of the Funding Agreement. The standard Funding Agreement can be viewed on the ARC's Web Site.

Appendix 1: National Research Priorities and associated Priority Goals

Research Priority 1: An Environmentally Sustainable Australia

Transforming the way we utilise our land, water, mineral and energy resources through a better understanding of human and environmental systems and the use of new technologies.

Natural resources have traditionally fuelled our national and regional economies. They have the potential to generate further wealth and employment opportunities in the future. But our natural resources and biodiversity must be used on a sustainable basis so that the benefits continue to be enjoyed by future generations.

Australia faces significant environmental challenges:

- Efficient and sustainable water use is a critically important issue for our economic and social development;
- Significant land degradation issues, such as salinity, need to be arrested to underpin our agricultural production systems;
- Climate change can be expected to have complex, long-term consequences for the environment, for our agricultural and marine production systems and for communities; and
- The cleanliness and efficiency of our energy production systems should be enhanced.

There is substantial effort underway to develop more efficient water utilisation practices, to protect our rivers and groundwater resources, and to protect and remediate our fragile soils.

Our agricultural and mining industries are being transformed through the adoption of new technologies, and the development of new types of foods.

This will help to revitalise our regional communities and generate substantial export earnings for the nation over the coming decades.

Australia is well placed to take an international lead in developing new and improved energy technologies and in capturing and ‘sequestering’ carbon dioxide.

Other opportunities lie in managing and using our unique, rich land- and marine-based biodiversity, and in developing our deep earth resources.

Australia has a strong record of achievement in research in fields in the natural sciences, such as agriculture, natural resource management, climate change, horticulture, forestry, mining, energy, and marine sciences, as well as in the social sciences and humanities.

We must build on these strengths to improve our competitive advantages while enhancing our understanding of natural systems and the interplay of human activities.

In particular, there needs to be an increased understanding of the contributions of human behaviour to environmental and climate change, and on appropriate adaptive responses and strategies.

To understand and manage these complex interactions better will require significant collaboration within the research community and with other stakeholders.

Priority goals for research fall in the seven areas of water utilisation, transforming resource-based industries, overcoming land degradation, developing cleaner, more efficient fuels and energy sources, managing biodiversity, deep earth resources and responding to climate change and variability.

Priority Goals

- **Water – a critical resource**

Sustainable ways of improving water productivity, using less water in agriculture and other industries, providing increased protection of rivers and groundwater and the re-use of urban and industrial waste waters.

Australia is one of the driest continents and is dependent upon access to freshwater supplies for economic and social development. It has a complex geological structure, a highly variable climate, unique ecosystems, flora and fauna and a distinctive indigenous and settler history. Enhancing our understanding of the links between these factors and water availability will result in a better understanding of sustainable water management practices.

- **Transforming existing industries**

New technologies for resource-based industries to deliver substantial increases in national wealth while minimising environmental impacts on land and sea.

Resource-based industries underpin much of Australia's prosperity and have the potential to do so in the future. For example, Australia remains highly prospective for minerals discoveries and highly attractive for the development of new era foods from agricultural and marine sources. Our competitive advantage and national well being will depend on research and on the development and adoption of new technologies.

- **Overcoming soil loss, salinity and acidity**

Identifying causes and solutions to land degradation using a multidisciplinary approach to restore land surfaces.

The Australian landscape is fragile: soil salinity, acidity, and nutrient levels pose significant, long term challenges for agriculture and the environment. Research is helping to find solutions to these problems. For example, the National Land and Water Resources Audit shows the extent of salinity, soil erosion and soil acidification in the Australian environment and illustrates Australia's leading edge in national mapping of critical resource data. Further multidisciplinary effort is required to develop sustainable land management practices that are appropriate for Australian conditions and mitigate major land degradation processes and increase biodiversity.

- **Reducing and capturing emissions in transport and energy generation**

Alternative transport technologies and clean combustion and efficient new power generation systems and capture and sequestration of carbon dioxide.

Australia is well positioned to produce world class solutions to reduce and capture greenhouse gas emissions. We are also well placed to develop alternative energy technologies and ecologically sustainable transport and power generation systems.

- **Sustainable use of Australia's biodiversity**

Managing and protecting Australia's terrestrial and marine biodiversity both for its own value and to develop long term use of ecosystem goods and services ranging from fisheries to ecotourism.

Australia has a unique and rich flora and fauna. Many of our complex ecosystems – on which our agricultural, fisheries and tourism industries depend - have adapted to events such as drought and fire, and have been shaped by indigenous and settler management practices. There is a need for a more comprehensive understanding of these natural systems and the interplay with human activities, and the effects of management and protection measures.

- **Developing deep earth resources**

Smart high-technology exploration methodologies, including imaging and mapping the deep earth and ocean floors, and novel efficient ways of commodity extraction and processing (examples include minerals, oil and gas) while minimising negative ecological and social impacts.

Many of Australia's known mineral assets may be nearly exhausted within the next decade. New land-based deposits are believed to be buried deeper in the crust and the deep marine areas surrounding Australia are also largely unexplored. New technologies, such as remote sensing, indicate scientists are on the brink of being able to 'see' inside the earth and identify deeply buried deposits.

- **Responding to climate change and variability**

Increasing our understanding of the impact of climate change and variability at the regional level across Australia, and addressing the consequences of these factors on the environment and on communities.

Australia already has a highly variable climate, and climate change can be expected to have further significant impacts. It is important to enhance our understanding of the consequences of climate change and variability at the regional level across Australia, and the implications for the environment and for communities. It is also important to explore beneficial adaptation strategies to climate change and variability to ensure ongoing social, economic and environmental well being.

Research Priority 2: Promoting and Maintaining Good Health

Promoting good health and well being for all Australians

Average life expectancies have increased markedly in recent decades. Australians also expect to lead longer and healthier lives in the future, and to remain productive and independent over an extended period.

Enabling individuals and families to make choices that lead to healthy, productive and fulfilling lives will yield economic and social benefits and add materially to national well being.

Australians expect that their children and grandchildren should have a healthy start to life.

Developing strategies to promote the healthy development of young Australians, and addressing the causes and reducing the impact of the genetic, social and environmental factors which diminish their life potential will be critical.

A revolution is also underway at the other end of the life cycle. Australia, like many other developed nations, is undergoing a major demographic shift involving significant growth in the aged population.

To meet this challenge, it will be important to promote healthy ageing by developing better social and medical strategies to ensure that older Australians enjoy healthy and productive lives.

Informed insights into the causes of disease and of mental and physical degeneration will contribute to the achievement of this goal.

All Australians stand to benefit from preventive healthcare through the adoption of healthier attitudes, habits and lifestyles.

Evidence-based preventive interventions may help reduce the incidence and severity of many diseases, including major health problems such as cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases, mental ill-health, obesity, diabetes, asthma and chronic inflammatory conditions. These could include interventions that reduce exposure to contamination of the physical environment (eg air pollution).

Improvements in the health and well being of the young, of older Australians and in preventive healthcare will be underpinned by research.

However, while Australia has an enviable record in health and medical research, the research effort is spread across the many universities, hospitals and health and medical research institutes, resulting in critical mass only in limited areas of research.

There is also a need to draw on multidisciplinary approaches that include research contributions from the social sciences and humanities.

This priority is designed to promote health and prevent disease through a more focused and collaborative effort.

Priority goals for research fall in the four areas of a healthy start to life, ageing well, ageing productively, preventive healthcare and strengthening Australia's social and economic fabric.

Priority Goals

- **A healthy start to life**

Counteracting the impact of genetic, social and environmental factors which predispose infants and children to ill health and reduce their well being and life potential.

Human health in the developing foetus and in early childhood is critical to the future well being of the adult. Research shows that health and well being in early childhood is predictive of later positive outcomes, and that health in middle and late childhood is also crucial.

- **Ageing well, ageing productively**

Developing better social, medical and population health strategies to improve the mental and physical capacities of ageing people.

Australia's population is ageing, with a significant projected increase in the number of people aged over 65 and over 85. While Australia is relatively well-placed compared with many OECD nations, major shifts in cultural expectations and attitudes about ageing are necessary to respond constructively, at both an individual and population level. A healthy aged population will contribute actively to the life of the nation through participation in the labour market or through voluntary work.

- **Preventive healthcare**

New ethical, evidence-based strategies to promote health and prevent disease through the adoption of healthier lifestyles and diet, and the development of health-promoting products.

Preventive healthcare research will improve the prediction and prevention of disease and injury for all Australians through the adoption of healthier behaviours, lifestyles and environments. Research will generate an improvement in the design, delivery and uptake of programmes such as exercise-based rehabilitation. There are several major disease targets amenable to immediate study, such as cardiovascular health, neurodegenerative diseases, mental ill-health, obesity, diabetes, asthma and chronic inflammatory conditions. Research on prevention will emphasise interdisciplinary approaches, including research on ethics, drawing on contributions from the social sciences and humanities, as well as from the health and medical sciences. It will also focus on developing new health promoting foods and nutraceuticals.

- **Strengthening Australia's social and economic fabric**

Understanding and strengthening key elements of Australia's social and economic fabric to help families and individuals live healthy, productive, and fulfilling lives.

Living in today's society involves a complex web of choices, yet many of the traditional support structures are weaker than they have been in the past. Enabling people to make choices that lead to positive pathways to self reliance and supportive family structures is more important than ever. The interactions between the social safety net, social and economic participation, financial incentives and community and private sources of support are critical in helping people maximise their potential and achieve good, healthy, lifetime outcomes. In the decade ahead, it will be vital to understand and support the drivers for workforce participation and the broader social and economic trends influencing Australian

families and communities. Research in this area will emphasise interdisciplinary approaches, drawing on contributions from the economic, behavioural and social sciences.

Research Priority 3: Frontier Technologies for Building and Transforming Australian Industries

Stimulating the growth of world-class Australian industries using innovative technologies developed from cutting-edge research.

Progress and wealth often derive from the unforeseen application of new discoveries. Australia must be at the leading edge if it is to stay abreast of international developments and take advantage of opportunities.

Our national capabilities in emerging sciences and their underpinning disciplines determine our capacity to develop and implement new technologies. Australia has a strong base of expertise, skills and technological capacities in the fundamental sciences and key technologies.

Our strengths are in a wide range of areas such as biotechnology, material sciences, information and communications technology (ICT), photonics, nanotechnology and sensor technology.

ICT is currently the critical enabling technology and is a major contributor to national productivity and growth.

But breakthrough science underpins technological advancements in many areas and Australia needs to foster an environment that stimulates creativity and innovation.

Applications for frontier technologies are potentially very large. Australia has the capacity to exploit niche markets for new products and services.

Australia also has an enviable track record as an innovator and developer of advanced materials and must grasp the opportunity to stay ahead.

Smart information use involving improved data management, intelligent transport systems and digital media to develop creative applications for digital technologies provides huge opportunities to improve the performance of key Australian industries.

Australia needs to invest in this research area as it is fundamental to our future competitiveness and well being.

This priority will help to strengthen the capacity of Australian researchers to participate in new areas of research, enhance Australia's international scientific reputation, stimulate local expertise, and help create vibrant new industries.

A better understanding of the conditions that are conducive to innovation will ensure that Australia's investment in research will maximise the benefits for Australia.

Enhanced research effort will also be achieved through initiatives that develop a critical mass of researchers in key areas.

Priority goals for research fall in the five areas of breakthrough science, frontier technologies, advanced materials, smart information use, and promoting an innovation culture and economy.

Priority Goals

- **Breakthrough science**

Better understanding of the fundamental processes that will advance knowledge and facilitate the development of technological innovations.

Breakthrough science underpins technological innovation across a range of industries critical to maintaining Australia's position as a developed country. Some examples include bio-, cultural- and geo-informatics, nano-assembly and quantum computing. Technological advances are often unexpected and a strong foundation in mathematics and the fundamental sciences will provide an environment that fosters creativity and innovation. Early participation in leading edge areas of research will enable Australian researchers to benefit more fully from international developments.

- **Frontier technologies**

Enhanced capacity in frontier technologies to power world-class industries of the future and build on Australia's strengths in research and innovation.

The potential applications of frontier technologies across a range of industries in Australia are vast. Australia has significant capacity to exploit niche markets for new products and services emerging from frontier technologies. Australia has world-class research expertise in many such areas. Some examples include nanotechnology, biotechnology, ICT, photonics, genomics and phenomics. Also important are advanced frameworks such as complex systems in which these technologies are applied. Future directions in this priority area need to target the cutting-edge science critical for each emerging technology.

- **Advanced materials**

Advanced materials for applications in construction, communications, transport, agriculture and medicine.

The development of advanced materials will underpin growth in many areas of industrial and economic activity in Australia. Australia has substantial infrastructure in this area and an enviable track record as an innovator and developer of advanced materials. The era of advanced materials is just beginning, in spite of the tremendous progress in recent years. Substantial scientific and technological challenges remain ahead, including the development of more sophisticated and specialised materials. Some examples include ceramics, organics, biomaterials, smart materials and fabrics, composites, polymers, and light metals.

- **Smart information use**

Improved data management for existing and new business applications and creative applications for digital technologies.

ICT applications are providing huge opportunities to deliver new systems, products, business solutions, and to make more efficient use of infrastructure. Examples include e-finance,

multi-media, content generation and imaging. Improved data management is central to the future competitiveness of key industries such as agriculture, biotechnology, finance, banking, education, transport, government, and health and ‘info-tainment’. The ability of organisations to operate virtually and collaborate across huge distances in Australia and internationally hinges on our capabilities in this area. The media and creative industries are among the fastest growing sectors of the new economy. Research is needed to exploit the huge potential in the digital media industry.

- **Promoting an innovation culture and economy**

Maximising Australia’s creative and technological capability by understanding the factors conducive to innovation and its acceptance.

Understanding the factors that lead to highly creative and innovative ideas and concepts, and the conditions that lead to their introduction, transfer and uptake is critical for any nation that aspires to lead the world in breakthrough science, frontier technologies, and in other forms of innovation. Promoting an innovation culture and economy requires research with a focus on developing and fostering human talent, societal and cultural values favourable to creativity and innovation, and structures and processes for encouraging and managing innovation.

Research Priority 4: Safeguarding Australia

Safeguarding Australia from terrorism, crime, invasive diseases and pests, strengthening our understanding of Australia’s place in the region and the world, and securing our infrastructure, particularly with respect to our digital systems.

Australia has to be capable of anticipating and tackling critical threats to society, strategic areas of the national economy and the environment.

The threats can potentially come from within and outside Australia.

The world is now characterised by the widespread and rapid movements of people, digitally coded data, goods and services, and exotic biological agents.

Critical infrastructure in Australia is increasingly dependent on digital technology for its management and integration.

Information protection and the integrity of security systems are now more important than ever before.

It is also necessary to protect the status of Australia as a nation free of many of the diseases affecting primary production around the world.

Terrorism has emerged as a very real global threat and crime is taking a significant toll on Australian society and economy.

Maintaining the operational advantage of Australia’s defence forces through superior capabilities is also fundamental to our national security.

Enhancing our nation's understanding of social, political and cultural issues will help Australia to engage with our neighbours and the wider global community and to respond to emerging issues.

Leading edge research in Australia is already yielding high dividends and as a national research priority will improve the effectiveness of that contribution.

Stronger research capabilities will ensure that solutions are tailored to Australia's unique circumstances, reflecting its geographic features and small population.

Greater collaboration within the research community and with other stakeholders will allow us to better understand and manage potential threats to Australia.

Harnessing the knowledge and capabilities across Australia offers us the best chance of developing innovative and rapid solutions to serious threats.

Australia's international relations and its regional influence will be strengthened through new collaborative approaches and new science and technologies that enhance security and safety.

The heightened interest in personal and electronic security across the world also provides opportunities for Australian solutions.

Priority goals for research fall in the five areas of critical infrastructure, understanding our region and the world, protecting Australia from invasive diseases and pests, protecting Australia from terrorism and crime, and transformational defence technologies.

Priority goals

- **Critical infrastructure**

Protecting Australia's critical infrastructure including our financial, energy, communications, and transport systems.

Protecting our critical infrastructure is important to national security and to the social and economic well being of Australia. An important aspect of this priority goal is e-security which is an enabler of e-commerce. Maintaining a critical mass of research in e-security will be essential in providing Australia with the tools to protect our way of life.

- **Understanding our region and the world**

Enhancing Australia's capacity to interpret and engage with its regional and global environment through a greater understanding of languages, societies, politics and cultures.

Social, cultural and religious issues are of growing significance due to the insecurities of globalisation and the increasing role of non-state players in the security environment. Australia's capacity to interpret and engage with its regional and global environment will be substantially improved by enhancing its research base in apposite languages, societies and cultures. An approach that enhances Australia's capacity to interpret itself to the rest of the world is also needed.

- **Protecting Australia from invasive diseases and pests**

Counteract the impact of invasive species through the application of new technologies and by integrating approaches across agencies and jurisdictions.

Australia is free of many of the pests and diseases affecting primary production around the world. This status needs to be protected as the introduction of exotic species has the potential to adversely affect our exports and the environment. Australia already has strong skills and expertise in this area of research and further work will offer immediate benefits to the community. A greater level of coordination of our research effort will mean that Australia can more effectively develop innovative and rapid solutions to serious threats.

- **Protecting Australia from terrorism and crime**

By promoting a healthy and diverse research and development system that anticipates threats and supports core competencies in modern and rapid identification techniques.

This threat requires a more sophisticated response which should harness Australia's research capabilities, and which will focus on all phases of counter-terrorism; prevention, preparedness, detection, response and recovery. Crime takes a significant toll on Australian society and economy. Personal identification, information protection and the integrity of security systems are fundamental towards ensuring the national security of Australia. An effective solution will include building on Australia's existing strengths in rapid detection using new analytical technologies and managing significant data collections.

- **Transformational defence technologies**

Transform military operations for the defence of Australia by providing superior technologies, better information and improved ways of operation.

Australia has a small defence force to protect a large continent and a substantial maritime region of responsibility. Its operational advantage has been maintained through a superior capability which is dependent on leveraging innovative technologies. Although some benefits can be gained from overseas research, Australia has to conduct its own research to address uniquely Australian demands. A systems approach which harnesses the research capabilities of all stakeholders is essential to the successful development and introduction of innovative technologies.

Appendix 2: Eligible Organisations

Organisations eligible to submit Proposals for *Linkage International Social Sciences Collaboration*.

New South Wales

Charles Sturt University
Macquarie University
Southern Cross University
The University of New England
The University of New South Wales
The University of Newcastle
The University of Sydney
University of Technology, Sydney
University of Western Sydney
University of Wollongong

Victoria

Deakin University
La Trobe University
Melbourne College of Divinity
Monash University
Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT University)
Swinburne University of Technology
The University of Melbourne
University of Ballarat
Victoria University

Queensland

Bond University
Central Queensland University
Griffith University
James Cook University
Queensland University of Technology
The University of Queensland
The University of the Sunshine Coast
University of Southern Queensland

Western Australia

Curtin University of Technology
Edith Cowan University
Murdoch University
The University of Notre Dame Australia
The University of Western Australia

South Australia

Flinders University
The University of Adelaide
University of South Australia

Tasmania

University of Tasmania

Northern Territory

Charles Darwin University
Batchelor Institute of Indigenous Tertiary Education

Australian Capital Territory

The Australian National University
University of Canberra

Multi-State

Australian Catholic University

Museums and herbaria which are Australian publicly funded organisations not directly funded to carry out research, but with research-related purposes and objectives.

Appendix 3: Linkage International Internationally Coordinated Initiatives (ICIs) *Social Sciences Collaboration*

Initiative being funded (Column 1)	Closing Time for Proposals (Column 2)	Eligible Organisations (Column 3)	Applicable Investigator roles ¹ and requirements (Column 4)	Specific objectives (Column 5)	Indicative duration and funding thresholds (Column 6)	Other Items specific to the initiative (Column 7)
<p>Title: <i>Social Sciences Collaboration (SSC)</i></p> <p>Joint initiative between the ARC and the Economic and Social Research Council of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (ESRC) in the field of Social Sciences: Cooperative Activity in Research between Australian and British Investigators.</p>	<p>5:00pm (AEST) 4 June 2008</p>	<p>Those organisations listed in Appendix 2 of this Call and Appendix 2, Item 1 of the LX Funding Rules, and Museums and herbaria which are Australian publicly funded organisations not directly funded to carry out research but with research-related purposes and objectives.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chief Investigator • Overseas Investigator • Partner Investigator • Each Proposal must have at least one CI and OI. • The first-named CI will be considered the 'Project Leader' and must be an employee, or holder of an adjunct appointment or equivalent, at the proponent Eligible Organisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To fund collaborative Australian/British Proposals in the fields of economics, economic and social history, political science, socio-legal studies, education, psychology, cognitive studies, linguistics, management and business studies, human geography, environmental planning, international studies, area and development studies, social statistics, demography, social science computing, sociology, social anthropology, social policy and social work. • To stimulate enhanced collaborations among social science researchers and create networks linking individuals and centres in Australia and the UK. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds may be awarded for 1 to 5 years, subject to sufficient funding being available for <i>Linkage International</i>, and the provisions of the ARC Act. • The duration of the proposed ICI project should match the duration of the counterpart project proposed to the UK ESRC. • The maximum level of funding which will be provided per project is \$250,000. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A person may not be nominated as a CI on more than one SSC ICI Proposal submitted in 2008.

¹ Refer Section 5 of this Call or Section 8 of the LX Funding Rules for a description of investigator types and their associated eligibility criteria.