ERA - you know you love it!

- Using ‘ERA’ Ranked Journals by universities
- Esteem Measures – COI review underway
- Benefits Realisation Review
- Volume data – what does that really mean?
- XML schema
- ERA 2012 Evaluation Dashboard trial
- ERA 2015 Feedback incl. peer review ‘ratings’
Reporting the peer review outcomes

This new report will provide universities with more detail for each peer reviewed unit of evaluation about:

• what the quality profile of the outputs is judged to be by individual reviewers

• how the judgments of all reviewers for this UoE align with judgments for all reviewers more broadly in the discipline

So what will it look like…..?
Example

% of reviewed output in each Tier by Reviewer and Discipline Average
ERA 2015......

When ?

What ?

Who ?
Key changes to ERA 2015 data collection

• New non-traditional research output categories

• Data collection
  – Conference outlets
  – Standard Publisher names will be available
  – Researchers Ids
• ERA 2012 requirement - each institution choose a standard name for each publisher for both books and book chapters
  – There was a degree of variability both within individual institution submissions and between institutions (eg Thomson Rueters Lawbook Co, Thomson Rueters, Thomson Lawbook Co.)

• ARC has been working to develop unique ERA Author IDs
  – further ensure the integrity of the ERA data collection and
  – link authors more directly with their contributions wherever they appear in ERA
  – will assist ROs when researchers move institutions